## AL-FARABI KAZAKH NATIONAL UNIVERSITY Faculty of Philology Department of Foreign Languages

### PROGRAM OF FINAL EXAMINATION IN THE DISCIPLINE

Code: IYa(p)5202, ID 12512 Discipline: "Foreign Language (professional)"

Educational programme 7M04134 – Management in Education (1 year) Master's degree programme

 $\begin{array}{c} Course-1 \\ Semester-1 \\ Number of credits-2 \end{array}$ 

### 1. THE THEMATIC PROGRAM OF THE DISCIPLINE

The aim of the discipline is to form practical skills in various types of speech activity in a foreign language. The training course builds the ability to perceive, understand and translate information in the modern global space, participate in scientific events to test their own research. The discipline is aimed at improving competencies in accordance with international standards of foreign language education

Learning outcomes in the discipline: As a result of studying the discipline, the student will be able to:

- 1. Listening comprehension: to understand authentic speech of a general, professional and scientific nature
- 2. Reading: reads and translates authentic texts on the specialty in a foreign language, as well as extract the information from the reading of a scientific text.
- 3. Speaking: applies professional vocabulary and terminology necessary for effective communication in a professional environment within the framework of your specialty.
- 4. Writing: to compose written texts of an informative nature (message, report, review, scientific and technical documentation); to annotate texts on the profile of the specialty and on research topics;
- 5. Prepares presentations and project work in a foreign language.

### Main topics studied in the discipline.

Module 1. Introduction to the Subject

- PC 1: The History of the Development of the Concept of Management in Education
- PC 2: Principles of Pedagogical Management
- PC 3. Specific Trends in Educational Administration: Decision-Making
- PC 4. Modern Trends in Educational Management
- PC 5. Leadership In Educational Administration

### Module 2. Leadership In Educational Administration

- PC 6. The Nature and Definition of the Concept Leadership in Management
- PC 7. Psychological patterns of managerial activity: laws of response uncertainty, self-preservation
- PC 8. Measurements of Leadership
- PC 9. The Behavioral Factor and Its Role in Leadership Success
- PC 10. The purpose and main tasks of the management system

### Module 3. Various aspects of Educational Management

- PC 11. Affective leadership, art and craftsmanship
- PC 12. The concept of management in education
- PC 13. Management of the teaching staff
- PC 14. Planning, Organizing and Controlling in Educational Management.
- PC 15. Conflict Management, Time Management

### List of recommended sources.

### Main literature:

- 1. Management in Education: Principles and Practice by Noah Bisi Oyedeji (Author)
- Publisher: LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing (July 24, 2021)
- 2. Educational Management: Major Themes in Education (Том 4), Harry Tomlinson, ISBN 0415276519

### Additional literature:

- 1. Martin Hewings. Advanced Grammar in Use. The 4th edition. Cambridge University Press. 2023
- 2. Gerald J. Alred, Carles T. Brusaw, Walter E. Oliu. Handbook of technical writing. The ninth Edition Macmillan, 2020. 129 p.
- 3. Paul Dummett and John Hird. Oxford EAP. Upper-Intermediate. C1. A course in English for Academic Purposes. Oxford University Press. 2020, p. 167. Internet resources:

### Online resources:

- 1.www.softwaresuggest.com What is Educational Management: Types, Importance and Benefits.
- 2. eprints.um.edu.myTheories of Educational Management and leadership.
- 3. MOOC: Academic Writing: The Structure of a Research Paper. On the platform open.kaznu.kz.
- 4. https://www.ebooks.com > en-us
- 5. http://dictionary.cambridge.org

### Software

1. Reverso Context. https://context.reverso.net/

# 2. METHODOLOGICAL INSTRUCTION FOR FINAL EXAMINATION: STANDARD WRITTEN EXAMINATION (OFFLINE)

2.1. Exam format: Standard written examination (offline). Platform: IS Univer.

2.2. The purpose of the written exam is to demonstrate the learning outcomes, skills and competencies acquired during the study of the discipline, the ability to logically express one's thoughts in writing, and argue one's point of view.

### 2.3. Expected results of the exam tasks:

One written exam card contains 3 questions that identify learning outcomes for the course studied and are assessed according to the criteria described below:

Question 1 - Criterion 1. Knowledge of the theory and concept of the course; logic of presentation. Criterion 2. Understanding and confirmation with examples of the theoretical principles presented in the course content.

Question 2 - Criterion 3. Application of the selected methodology and technology to written practical tasks. Criterion 4. Disclosure and solution of the main problem given in the practical task.

Question 3 - Criterion 5. Evaluation and written critical analysis of the applicability of the chosen methodology to the proposed practical task. Criterion 6. Justification of the result obtained from one's own practice.

### 2.4. The examination procedure.

- 2.4.1. The standard written offline exam is conducted in accordance with the approved schedule.
- 2.4.2. 15 minutes before the start of the offline written exam, the teacher on duty checks the students' identities using their ID cards, and seats the students in the seats indicated on the attendance sheets.
- 2.4.3. In the event that a substitute person appears at the offline written exam, the teacher on duty draws up a corresponding report of violation of these Rules.
  - 2.4.4. Late students will not be allowed to take the exam.
- 2.4.5. During the exam, the teacher on duty monitors students' compliance with the rules of conduct in accordance with the approved instructions.
  - 2.4.6. At the end of the time allotted for the exam (2 astronomical hours), the teacher on duty:
  - 1) collects examination papers;
  - 2) puts in each work a sign of the end of writing the work in the answer sheets the letter X;
- provides answer sheets along with attendance sheets for encryption to a specialist from the dean's office.
- 2.4.7. In case of delay in providing work for encryption to a specialist from the dean's office, a corresponding act is drawn up with subsequent prosecution of the perpetrators.
- 2.4.8. During the exam, students are prohibited from carrying and/or using cheat sheets, cell phones, smart watches and other technical and other means that can be used for unauthorized access to auxiliary information. It is prohibited to talk with other students and strangers, or to write down your full name and/or other identifying information in your answers.
- 2.4.9. If a student appears for the exam and refuses to answer the ticket, passing the exam will be graded as an "F."
  - 2.4.10. If there is no good reason, failure to appear for the exam will be assessed as an "F".
- 2.4.11. If a student violates one or more of these points, an Act of cancellation of the examination work (hereinafter referred to as the Act) is filled out, and a grade of "F" ("unsatisfactory") is assigned for the discipline.
- 2.4.12. For repeated violation of these Rules during the exam, the student is presented for consideration by the Faculty Council on Ethics.
- 2.4.13. The final grade for the discipline can be canceled within 1 month after the exam, if a student is found to have violated the instructions for conducting final control using distance learning technologies and/or rules of behavior during the exam: using cheat sheets, cell phones, negotiating, etc. based on recordings from surveillance cameras with filling out the Report. The act cannot be annulled or appealed.
  - 2.4.14. All violations during exams are recorded in the student's transcript.

# RUBRICTOR FOR CRITERIAL ASSESSMENT OF FINAL EXAMINATION

Platform: IS Univer Discipline: Foreign Language (professional). Form: Standard written examination (offline).

Nº	Score			DESCRIPTORS		
		«Excellent»	«Cood»	«Satisfactory»	«Unsatis	Insatisfactory»
	Criterion	90-100 %	% 68-02	% 69-05	25-49 %	0-24 %
Question 1	Knowledge of the theory and concept of the course; logic of presentation.	An "excellent" grade is given for an answer that contains an exhaustive explanation of the question, a detailed argumentation for each conclusion and statement, is constructed logically and consistently, and is supported by examples from the developed classroom topics.	A "good" grade is given for an answer that contains a complete but not exhaustive coverage of the issue, an abbreviated argumentation of the main points, and allows for a violation of the logic and sequence of presentation of the material. The answer contains stylistic errors and inaccurate use of terms.	A "satisfactory" grade is given for an answer that contains incomplete coverage of the questions proposed in the ticket, superficially argues the main points, and allows compositional imbalances in the presentation, violations of the logic and sequence of presentation of the material.	an answer that grade is given for incomplete incorrect coverage of the of the questions questions posed, in the ticket, erroneous and verbal errors, and ts, and allows and verbal errors, and for the assumption of an incorrect conclusion.  on, violations ic and sequence ation of the	An "unsatisfactory" grade is also given for ignorance of basic concepts and theories; for violation of the Rules for final control.
	Criterion 2. Understanding and confirmation with examples of the theoretical principles presented in the course content.	A comprehensive answer with illustrated examples was given to the question; the answer is presented in literate scientific language, all terms and concepts are used correctly and explained correctly.	rer is not fully l by specific ries.	ent does not theoretical with examples developed class	Key concepts for the training course contained in questions are interpreted with significant errors.	The student does not provide examples to support the main theoretical principles of the course.
Question 2	Application of the selected methodology and technology to written practical tasks. Criterion 4. Disclosure and solution of the main	Excellent completion of the training assignment, a detailed, reasoned written answer to the question posed, followed by solving practical problems of the course.	Partial completion of the educational assignment, incomplete, sometimes question posed with an incomplete solution to the practical problems of the course; illiterate use of scientific language norms	The material is presented in fragments, in violation of logical sequence, factual and semantic inaccuracies are made, and theoretical knowledge of the course is used superficially.	An irrational method of solving a task or an insufficiently thoughtout answer plan; inability to solve problems, perform tasks in general; making mistakes and omissions that exceeds the norm.	Inability to apply knowledge and algorithms to solve tasks; inability to draw conclusions and generalizations. Violation of the Rules for final control.

	The student did not fully understand the material. Violation of the Rules for final control.	The task has not been completed, there are no answers to the questions posed, materials and analysis tools have not been used.	Lack of ability to apply course methods when giving examples. Violation of the Rules for final control.
	The student finds it difficult to answer most of the additional questions on the content of the exam or does not give the correct answers	The task was completed with gross mistakes, the answers to the questions were incomplete, the conceptual material and argumentation were poorly used.	Demonstration of difficulty in providing written answers to problematic questions.
	There is no meaningfulness of the material provided, there is no understanding of interdisciplinary connections.	There are conclusions on the applicability of substantiated scientific provisions are vague and unconvincing; there are stylistic and grammatical errors, as well as inaccuracies in processing the results of a practical decision.	Poor application of the main volume of material in accordance with the training program with difficulties in independently reproducing it in writing.
in the course.	The student's knowledge is adapted; the answers are weak structured, the answer contains minor factual errors, which he can correct independently, thanks to a leading question.	3-4 inaccuracies in the use of conceptual material, minor errors in generalizations and conclusions are allowed, which do not affect the good overall level of task completion.	Analysis of 3-4 provisions of existing theories, scientific schools and directions with justification of the result obtained from one's own practice on the issue of the exam card with some inaccuracies.
	Scientific concepts are freely applied to the task adapted; the answers are at hand, followed by a weak logical and evidence-based disclosure of the contains minor factual main problem.  Correct independently, thanks to a leading question.	(1 0 A 50 0 F 50 0	wer is illustrated amples and ls, including e student's own
problem given in the practical task.	Criterion 4.  Disclosure and solution of the main problem given in the practical task.	3 Criterion 5.  Evaluation and written critical analysis of the applicability of the chosen methodology to the proposed practical task.	Criterion 6. The ans Justification of the with exresult obtained from visuals. one's own practice. from the practice
		Onestion	

Formula for calculating the final grade: Final grade (FG) = (%1+%2+%3+%4+%5+%6) / K, where % is the level of task completion by criterion, K is the total number of criteria.

Example of calculating the final grade

	Score	«Excellent»	«Cood»	«Satisfactory»		«Unsatisfactory»
Criterion		90-100 %	20-89%	%69-05	25-49%	0-24%
Criterion		100				
Criterion 2	2		75			
Criterion 3	3			09		
Criterion	4				45	
Criterion	5 u	100				
Criterion 6	9				49	
Final %		200	75	09	94	200+75+60+94=429
						429 / 6  criteria = 71,5
						Final score, as $\% = 72$

Based on percentage obtained during the calculation, we can compare the score with the rating scale.

72 points range from 70 points to 89 points, which corresponds to the "Good" category according to the grading scale.

Thus, with this calculation, the project will be rated 72 points "Good" in accordance with the point-rating letter system for assessing educational achievements students with their transfer to the traditional grading scale and ECTS.

Executer/d. Dean

Executer/d. Head of Department

Lecturer



Dzholdasbekova B.U.

Dosmagambetova D.J.

Dzhamaldinova M.T.